

John C. Peterson, Director
Contract Performance and Administration
Wholesale Markets



Wholesale Markets
600 Hidden Ridge, HQE03D52
P.O. Box 152092
Irving, TX 75038

Phone 972-718-5988
Fax 972-719-1519
john.c.peterson@verizon.com

May 27, 2004

Mr. Jack Dayan
CEO
Spectrotel, Inc.
655 Shrewsbury Ave., Suite 210
Shrewsbury, NJ 07702

Re: Requested Adoption Under Section 252(i) of the TA96

Dear Mr. Dayan:

Verizon New England Inc., d/b/a Verizon Maine ("Verizon"), a New York corporation, with principal place of business at 185 Franklin Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02110, has received your letter stating that, under Section 252(i) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the "Act"), Spectrotel, Inc. ("Spectrotel"), a Delaware corporation, with principal place of business at 655 Shrewsbury Ave., Suite 210, Shrewsbury, New Jersey 07702, wishes to adopt the terms of the Interconnection Agreement between Z-Tel Communications Inc. ("Z-Tel") and Verizon that was approved by the Maine Public Utilities Commission (the "Commission") as an effective agreement in the State of Maine, as such agreement exists on the date hereof after giving effect to operation of law (the "Terms"). I understand Spectrotel has a copy of the Terms. Please note the following with respect to Spectrotel's adoption of the Terms.

1. By Spectrotel's countersignature on this letter, Spectrotel hereby represents and agrees to the following five points:
 - (A) Spectrotel adopts (and agrees to be bound by) the Terms of the Z-Tel/Verizon agreement for interconnection as it is in effect on the date hereof after giving effect to operation of law, and in applying the Terms, agrees that Spectrotel shall be substituted in place of Z-Tel Communications Inc. and Z-Tel in the Terms wherever appropriate.

(B) For avoidance of doubt, adoption of the Terms does not include adoption of any provision imposing an unbundling obligation on Verizon that no longer applies under the Report and Order and Order on Remand (FCC 03-36) released by the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") on August 21, 2003 in CC Docket Nos. 01-338, 96-98, 98-147 ("Triennial Review Order"), or that is otherwise not required by both 47 U.S.C. Section 251(c)(3) and 47 C.F.R. Part 51. Moreover, Verizon, on February 20, 2004, filed a petition at the Maine Public Utilities Commission to arbitrate amendments to interconnection agreements (including the Terms) with respect to the Triennial Review Order ("TRO Arbitration"). Once the Commission issues an effective order approving an amendment with respect to the Triennial Review Order in the TRO Arbitration (an "Approved Amendment"): 1) the terms of such Approved Amendment shall be deemed to amend this adoption effective on the effective date of such Commission order, 2) Spectrotel agrees to be bound by the terms of such Approved Amendment effective on the effective date of such Commission order, and 3) Verizon and Spectrotel shall execute an amendment to this adoption to memorialize that this adoption is amended by the terms of such Approved Amendment effective on the effective date of such Commission order; provided, however, failure by either party to do so shall not be cited as a basis for contesting the effectiveness of the provisions in 1) and 2) above.

(C) Notice to Spectrotel and Verizon as may be required under the Terms shall be provided as follows:

To: Spectrotel, Inc.
Attention: Brian T. Fitzgerald
LeBoeuf, Lamb, Green & MacRae, LLP
99 Washington Ave., Suite 2020
Albany, NY 12210-2820
Telephone Number: 518-626-9000
Facsimile Number: 518-626-9010
Internet Address: bfitzger@llgrn.com

To Verizon:

Director-Contract Performance & Administration
Verizon Wholesale Markets
600 Hidden Ridge
HQEWMNOTICES
Irving, TX 75038
Telephone Number: 972-718-5988
Facsimile Number: 972-719-1519
Internet Address: wmnotices@verizon.com

with a copy to:

Vice President and Associate General Counsel
Verizon Wholesale Markets
1515 N. Court House Road
Suite 500
Arlington, VA 22201
Facsimile: 703-351-3664

- (D) Spectrotel represents and warrants that it is a certified provider of local telecommunications service in the State of Maine, and that its adoption of the Terms will cover services in the State of Maine only.
 - (E) In the event an interconnection agreement between Verizon and Spectrotel is currently in effect in the State of Maine (the "Original ICA"), this adoption shall be an amendment and restatement of the operating terms and conditions of the Original ICA, and shall replace in their entirety the terms of the Original ICA. This adoption is not intended to be, nor shall it be construed to create, a novation or accord and satisfaction with respect to the Original ICA. Any outstanding payment obligations of the parties that were incurred but not fully performed under the Original ICA shall constitute payment obligations of the parties under this adoption.
 - (F) Verizon's standard pricing schedule for interconnection agreements in the State of Maine (as such schedule may be amended from time to time) (attached as Appendix 1 hereto) shall apply to Spectrotel's adoption of the Terms. Spectrotel should note that the aforementioned pricing schedule may contain rates for certain services the terms for which are not included in the Terms or that are otherwise not part of this adoption, and may include phrases or wording not identical to those utilized in the Terms. In an effort to expedite the adoption process, Verizon has not deleted such rates from the pricing schedule or attempted to customize the wording in the pricing schedule to match the Terms. However, the inclusion of such rates in no way obligates Verizon to provide the subject services and in no way waives Verizon's rights, and the use of slightly different wording or phrasing in the pricing schedule does not alter the obligations and rights set forth in the Terms.
- 2. Spectrotel's adoption of the Z-Tel Terms shall become effective on June 10, 2004. Verizon shall file this adoption letter with the Commission promptly upon receipt of an original of this letter countersigned by an authorized officer of Spectrotel. The term and termination provisions of the Z-Tel/Verizon agreement shall govern Spectrotel's adoption of the Terms.
 - 3. As the Terms are being adopted by you pursuant to your statutory rights under section 252(i), Verizon does not provide the Terms to you as either a voluntary or negotiated agreement. The filing and performance by Verizon of the Terms does not in any way constitute a waiver by Verizon of any position as to the Terms or a

portion thereof, nor does it constitute a waiver by Verizon of all rights and remedies it may have to seek review of the Terms, or to seek review in any way of any provisions included in these Terms as a result of Spectrotel's 252(i) election.

4. Nothing herein shall be construed as or is intended to be a concession or admission by Verizon that any provision in the Terms complies with the rights and duties imposed by the Act, the decisions of the FCC and the Commissions, the decisions of the courts, or other law, and Verizon expressly reserves its full right to assert and pursue claims arising from or related to the Terms.
5. Verizon reserves the right to deny Spectrotel's adoption and/or application of the Terms, in whole or in part, at any time:
 - (a) when the costs of providing the Terms to Spectrotel are greater than the costs of providing them to Z-Tel;
 - (b) if the provision of the Terms to Spectrotel is not technically feasible; and/or
 - (c) to the extent that Verizon otherwise is not required to make the Terms available to Spectrotel under applicable law.
6. For avoidance of doubt, please note that adoption of the Terms will not result in reciprocal compensation payments for Internet traffic. Verizon has always taken the position that reciprocal compensation was not due to be paid for Internet traffic under section 251(b)(5) of the Act. Verizon's position that reciprocal compensation is not to be paid for Internet traffic was confirmed by the FCC in the Order on Remand and Report and Order adopted on April 18, 2001 ("*FCC Internet Order*"), which held that Internet traffic constitutes "information access" outside the scope of the reciprocal compensation obligations set forth in section 251(b)(5) of the Act.¹ Accordingly, any compensation to be paid for Internet traffic will be handled pursuant to the terms of the *FCC Internet Order*, not pursuant to adoption of the Terms.² Moreover, in light of the *FCC Internet Order*, even if the Terms include provisions invoking an intercarrier compensation mechanism for Internet traffic, any reasonable amount of time permitted for adopting such provisions has expired under the FCC's rules implementing section 252(i) of the Act.³ In fact, the *FCC Internet Order* made clear that carriers may not adopt provisions of an existing interconnection

¹ Order on Remand and Report and Order, In the Matters of: Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and Intercarrier Compensation for ISP-Bound Traffic, CC Docket No. 99-68 (rel. April 27, 2001) ("*FCC Remand Order*") ¶44, *remanded*, *WorldCom, Inc. v. FCC*, No. 01-1218 (D.C. Cir. May 3, 2002). Although the D.C. Circuit remanded the *FCC Remand Order* to permit the FCC to clarify its reasoning, it left the order in place as governing federal law. *See WorldCom, Inc. v. FCC*, No. 01-1218, slip op. at 5 (D.C. Cir. May 3, 2002).

² For your convenience, an industry letter distributed by Verizon explaining its plans to implement the *FCC Internet Order* can be viewed at Verizon's Customer Support Website at URL www.verizon.com/wise (select Verizon East Customer Support, Business Resources, Customer Documentation, Resources, Industry Letters, CLEC, May 21, 2001 Order on Remand).

³ *See, e.g.*, 47 C.F.R. Section 51.809(c).

agreement to the extent that such provisions provide compensation for Internet traffic.⁴

7. Should Spectrotel attempt to apply the Terms in a manner that conflicts with paragraphs 3-6 above, Verizon reserves its rights to seek appropriate legal and/or equitable relief.

⁴ *FCC Internet Order* ¶ 82.

SIGNATURE PAGE

Please arrange for a duly authorized representative of Spectrotel to sign this letter in the space provided below and return it to Verizon.

Sincerely,

VERIZON NEW ENGLAND INC., D/B/A VERIZON MAINE

John C. Peterson, Director
Contract Performance and Administration
Wholesale Markets

Reviewed and countersigned as to points A, B, C, D, E and F of paragraph 1:

SPECTROTEL, INC.

Mr. Jack Dayan
CEO

c: K. Robertson – Verizon